



Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Biomedical attacks are worsening

17 messages

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Tue, Nov 14, 2023 at 10:25 AM

To: MayorWheeler@portlandoregon.gov, "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Mayor Wheeler, Mr Tu -

The attacks on myself and especially on Roxy are worsening.

I cannot understand why the delay and persistent refusal to render aid. I feel that over the years I have provided ample evidence to the City of a serious life endangering crime. There are letters from me to the mayor's office dating back to 2014.

I don't understand why I'm being forced into the rather extreme situation of needing to request an injunction simply to enforce existing laws.

Must we wait for a judge to rule as I continue to be harmed and endangered or can we agree that there are mandates in place to address this type of crime, and that scientific support is available to police - and mandated - via the FBI laboratory?

Sincerely,

Erika Meyer

2 attachments

 **MST7448.pdf**
1119K

 **23CV20288_discovery_letter_2023-11-13.pdf**
104K

Tu, Trung <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Tue, Nov 14, 2023 at 5:26 PM

To: Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Cc: "Warnock, Clair" <Clair.Warnock@portlandoregon.gov>, "Melara, Darlene" <Darlene.Melara@portlandoregon.gov>

Hello Ms. Meyer,

As you already know, I represent the City of Portland in the lawsuit that you filed against the City. As such, it is inappropriate for you to try to have direct contact with my client, which includes the mayor. Any communication that you wish to have with the City or anyone employed by the City about anything related to your lawsuit against the City needs to go through me. So, please do not try to contact the mayor or any other City employees about this case again.

Sincerely,

Trung

TRUNG D. TU | Senior Deputy City Attorney (He/Him/His)

PORTLAND OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

1221 SW Fourth Avenue, Room 430

Portland, OR 97204

Voice: 503-823-3057 | Fax: 503-823-3089

Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov

Equal Access Notice: The City of Portland operates without regard to race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, familial status, age or disability according to all applicable [non-discrimination laws](#), [Title VI of the Civil Rights Act](#), and [Title II of the ADA](#). To help ensure equal access to City services, the City will provide translation and interpretation, and will reasonably modify policies or procedures for persons with disabilities. For auxiliary aid requests, please e-mail cityattorneysoffice@portlandoregon.gov, call (503) 823-4047, TTY 503-823-6868 or Oregon Relay Service: 711. For translation and interpretation services, please call 311 if you are calling from Multnomah County, (503) 823-4000 if outside of Multnomah County, or email cityattorneysoffice@portlandoregon.gov.

Portland City Attorney Confidentiality Notice: This message may contain confidential or legally privileged information belonging to the sender. If you have received this message by mistake, please immediately notify the sender, delete the original message, and destroy all copies.

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Tue, Nov 14, 2023 at 5:34 PM

To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Cc: "Warnock, Clair" <Clair.Warnock@portlandoregon.gov>, "Melara, Darlene" <Darlene.Melara@portlandoregon.gov>

Mr Tu,

Your client, the Mayor, is my elected representative. Please explain to me how the law works in this regard.

Specifically, how does Mayor Wheeler's role as your client supersede his obligations to me as a citizen of Portland, Oregon?

Thank you,

Erika Meyer

[Quoted text hidden]

Tu, Trung <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Tue, Nov 14, 2023 at 6:37 PM

To: Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Cc: "Warnock, Clair" <Clair.Warnock@portlandoregon.gov>, "Melara, Darlene" <Darlene.Melara@portlandoregon.gov>

Ms. Meyer,

The mayor's role as my client does not supersede his obligations to you as a citizen of the City. However, you did not reach out to the mayor for political relief or as a citizen. Instead, you reached out to the mayor as a litigant and you wanted to bring your Motion for a Preliminary Injunction to his attention. That is not appropriate, because the mayor is represented by counsel in this case. If you were an attorney, I would report you to the bar for attempting to communicate with my client about the subject of your litigation, or ask the Court to impose sanctions against you. But you are not a lawyer, so you are not technically prohibited from communicating with the mayor about this matter. However, it is highly inappropriate for you to do so. For that reason, I asked you to not communicate with him about this case and explained to you why it is inappropriate. But I cannot prohibit you from communicating with the mayor.

Sincerely,

Trung

TRUNG D. TU | Senior Deputy City Attorney (He/Him/His)

PORTLAND OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY
1221 SW Fourth Avenue, Room 430
Portland, OR 97204
Voice: 503-823-3057 | Fax: 503-823-3089
Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov

Equal Access Notice: The City of Portland operates without regard to race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, familial status, age or disability according to all applicable non-discrimination laws, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, and Title II of the ADA. To help ensure equal access to City services, the City will provide translation and interpretation, and will reasonably modify policies or procedures for persons with disabilities. For auxiliary aid requests, please e-mail cityattorneysoffice@portlandoregon.gov, call (503) 823-4047, TTY 503-823-6868 or Oregon Relay Service: 711. For translation and interpretation services, please call 311 if you are calling from Multnomah County, (503) 823-4000 if outside of Multnomah County, or email cityattorneysoffice@portlandoregon.gov.
Portland City Attorney Confidentiality Notice: This message may contain confidential or legally privileged information belonging to the sender. If you have received this message by mistake, please immediately notify the sender, delete the original message, and destroy all copies.

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Tue, Nov 14, 2023 at 7:31 PM

To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Cc: "Warnock, Clair" <Clair.Warnock@portlandoregon.gov>, "Melara, Darlene" <Darlene.Melara@portlandoregon.gov>

There is a larger and related issue here having to do not only with my rights as a citizen but with my ability to do discovery in this case. You have attempted to block my ability to conduct a discovery process prior to the hearing of your Motion to Dismiss. I've been thinking about how to deal with this. For example, I would like to depose Officer Ledford and Officer DeLong, maybe others. I'm guessing the hearing on your MTD will be scheduled soon - assuming that the Motion will be heard before the Christmas holiday, perhaps you will agree to scheduling the depositions sometime after that hearing.

In addition, there is other research I am interested in conducting which may lead to discoverable information about this case. Unlike depositions, some of this is the type of thing that any citizen could do. It doesn't seem fair to restrict me from this kind of activity, though it may lead to information which in turn would lead to a request for records or deposition.

I am aware that the Courts want to try cases within a year and we're coming up hard on that deadline. You do agree that I am entitled to do discovery in my case, right? How can I conduct this activity in a way that is efficient and timely and meets my needs yet still satisfies you with regards to procedural issues?

Personally, I still think a face to face meeting between us is a good idea, as far as hashing out procedural issues with regards to discovery.

Thank you,

Erika Meyer

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Sat, Nov 18, 2023 at 3:21 AM

To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

So you understand, I wanted the Mayor to know that the city is in possession of documentation of what I've been suffering (evidence) - with the idea that he is in charge of police, and police have the ability to investigate this issue. The injunction which I attached to that letter details that law, and the letter details some of the

documentation that I provided the City. This is under the assumption that the Mayor cares about me as a citizen of this City and as a human being.

Roxy and I are being brutally attacked tonight - and in fact I am being attacked in the heart as well as in the stomach, and her ears are bleeding again and she is being horribly attacked every hour or so. This is what happens when I seek assistance from someone who has the power to help me - in this case the courts and police - and that assistance is refused. When I explain what is going on with these attacks, or often when I seek help - I am gaslit - in order to make it seem like I'm delusional. This is despite the fact that I've got evidence in support of my claims.

This is a conspiracy to commit murder by degrees. I identified this years ago, and the result is that Chris Newman was murdered via biomedical implants. Even after he was murdered no one with the power and authority to do so, is willing to do the very simple tests that would confirm my claims about the use of biomedical implants to harm me and Roxy. In addition, the police refused to take a report of Chris' murder, threatened to report me to mental health for attempting to report, and refused to even document how hard I tried to make a report.

There are indications that my communications regarding legal matters, including with you, have not been kept private. I know you are not obligated to keep my communications private, but I suspect that it's not you who is leaking communications.

I am really frustrated about being lied to and gaslit in this situation.

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Sat, Nov 18, 2023 at 3:33 AM

To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Cc: "Warnock, Clair" <Clair.Warnock@portlandoregon.gov>, "Melara, Darlene" <Darlene.Melara@portlandoregon.gov>

Resending so as to include all address which were included in original thread (sans Mayor)

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Sat, Nov 18, 2023 at 6:24 AM

To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Cc: "Warnock, Clair" <Clair.Warnock@portlandoregon.gov>, "Melara, Darlene" <Darlene.Melara@portlandoregon.gov>

Mr Trung, I apologize for sending you emails on a weekend but unfortunately the attacks I'm suffering do not take evenings, nights, or weekends off. It's increasingly clear that there is no way I can reasonably bring a civil suit as long as this is going on - both the surveillance and the biomedical abuse. Not only does it negatively affect my ability to function, but it has made it impossible for me to access legal counsel and unreasonable to expect impartiality from a court process. Whatever and whoever is behind this has the ability to intimidate, bribe, and coerce potential witnesses, jurors, attorneys, and officers of the court. There is evidence of this.

From my perspective as an educated person with a science background who is (despite unsupported arguments to the contrary) able to use logic and discern what is and is not evidence - there is very little issue about what is going on. There are biomedical implants in my body, wirelessly accessible, being used to torture and harm. My cat, Roxy, is also being tortured and harmed in a similar manner. I have evidence for this and am ready to bring this evidence into court. The main point of contention is WHO is behind it. There are many reasons

that I've inferred there is involvement of the federal government, but without more sophisticated testing, I can't say that for sure. That is where I need help from law enforcement.

I feel that the Motion for a Preliminary Injunction makes a solid case for the law supporting my right to redress through the criminal justice system. Oregon laws are specific about protecting animals from abuse. Federal laws are specific about mandating FBI laboratory cooperation with police investigations. This request was brought under ORCP 79 A(1)(b) - "when it appears that the party against whom a judgment is sought is doing or threatens, or is about to do, or is procuring or suffering to be done, some act in violation of the rights of a party seeking judgment.. and tending to render the judgment ineffectual"

In this case, the City is at the very least "suffering to be done" acts in violation of my rights to be free of unlawful search and seizure, of my rights of due process, and of my right not to be abused and/or murdered in my own home. It wouldn't matter if I were awarded a BILLION dollars, if I am still unable to address the issue of this biomedical abuse.

For these reasons, I think that maybe the demand for damages may be standing in the way of my ability to achieve redress. Would the City consider opening a criminal investigation - utilizing the services available from the FBI as well as the evidence I have made available (and additional evidence I have collected, and continue to collect) - into my complaint - in return for my voluntary dismissing claims for monetary damages?

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Mon, Nov 20, 2023 at 6:45 AM

To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Cc: "Warnock, Clair" <Clair.Warnock@portlandoregon.gov>, "Melara, Darlene" <Darlene.Melara@portlandoregon.gov>

We need assistance.

Files attached of Roxy from this morning. The attacks that I suffer are internal and so I can't photograph them. The hard drive contains documentary evidence of wireless signals coming from specific locations in my body including some of the locations associated with pain and injury.

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments



IMG_20231120_041000497.jpg
1606K



November 20, 2023.mp4
2352K

Tu, Trung <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Mon, Nov 20, 2023 at 11:31 AM

To: Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Cc: "Warnock, Clair" <Clair.Warnock@portlandoregon.gov>, "Melara, Darlene" <Darlene.Melara@portlandoregon.gov>

Hello Ms. Meyer:

With regard to the biomedical implants that you believe are in your body, I suggest that you see a medical doctor, ask the doctor to examine you, and remove any biomedical implants that the doctor finds in you. Regarding Roxy, from the photo and video that you sent to me, it looks like she has some sort of infection or rash. So, I suggest that you take Roxy to a veterinarian as soon as possible for antibiotics or whatever treatment is necessary to make her better.

Regarding your lawsuit against the City and various assertions about the law, I very strongly recommend that you seek the assistance of an attorney to represent, advise or otherwise help you. Your pleadings, motions and arguments demonstrate a fundamental misunderstanding and/or incorrect reading of the law – which is understandable since you are not a trained lawyer. I have tried to point out the flaws in your legal arguments and misunderstanding of the law to you in my various efforts to confer with you, and in my responses to your motions. In particular, I have specifically informed you several times that the City and its Portland Police Bureau have no legal obligation to investigate any of your claims, and your lawsuit is not going to change that. However, it is apparent that you do not believe me or any of my arguments. That is why I strongly recommend that you obtain your own attorney to advise you on the law, proper legal procedures and how to obtain the relief that you seek. The following are the names and contact information for two of the pro bono legal clinics in the Portland area:

Legal Aid Services of Oregon

(503) 224-4086

[520 SW 6th Ave](#)

Portland, OR 97204

Consumer, Domestic Violence, Elder and Employment

Oregon Law Center Pro Bono Program

(503) 295-2760

[813 SW Alder St, Ste 500](#)

Portland, OR 97205

Consumer, Employment, Estate Planning and Personal Injury

If you cannot obtain legal assistance from either of those pro bono legal clinics, or if they cannot provide you with a referral to an attorney who can help you, then I suggest contacting the Oregon State Bar's lawyer referral service, by calling (503) 684-3763.

As for your inability to get law enforcement and the courts to believe your suspicions, theories and various claims about the attacks on you and Roxy, and about your other various assertions of nefarious conduct towards you, at this point, I recommend that you obtain what is called a Psychiatric Independent Medical Examination ("Psychiatric IME") by a board certified psychiatrist, and ask that psychiatrist to provide a report or certification to demonstrate that you are in fact not suffering from any sort of mental health issues that would affect your perception, world view, memories, beliefs and sense of reality.

Other than providing you with the above suggestions, I don't know how else to help you.

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Mon, Nov 20, 2023 at 11:46 AM

To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Cc: "Warnock, Clair" <Clair.Warnock@portlandoregon.gov>, "Melara, Darlene" <Darlene.Melara@portlandoregon.gov>

Mr Tu,

I am blacklisted and the blacklisting, for whatever reason, extends to my ability to hire an attorney. Do you think I have not tried?

With regards to some of the other issues you bring up, some of them exhibit fundamentally deceptive tactics on your part.

I have the ability to read and I understand English, the language that both civil statutes and case law is written in.

You are not making cognizant arguments, exactly what you're accusing me of. That is gaslighting.

You are acting as if this fraud will never end.

Erika Meyer

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>
To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Mon, Nov 20, 2023 at 11:47 AM

Let me be clear - I am not concerned about "believing" my claims. We are WAY beyond that.

[Quoted text hidden]

Tu, Trung <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>
To: Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Mon, Nov 20, 2023 at 12:12 PM

Ms. Meyer,

I am not gaslighting you and I am not being deceptive to you. You sent me several emails over the weekend and this morning, asking for assistance. So, I tried to provide you with the assistance that I am capable of providing, and now you accuse me of being deceptive to you and gaslighting you. Your accusations are no true. But since that is what you believe, then please do not send me any more emails asking me for assistance. I am not your lawyer, and I cannot order PPB to investigate your claims, especially since they do not believe you. So, I will no longer respond to any of you requests for help.

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>
To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Mon, Nov 20, 2023 at 12:27 PM

I want to make sure you understand where I am coming from and what I not only asked, but offered.

1. It is bad enough being so blacklisted I can't work or get legal assistance. But it is beyond unreasonable for me to be expected to attempt to litigate, pro se, while both I and my pet cat are being physically assaulted day and night. As you know, this was the basis of my request for injunctive relief. All I was asking was for the police to enforce the laws, as stated in the motion.

That the assaults amplify every time I request assistance and am refused, and that they often amplify as I move forward in litigation, suggests they are retaliatory and intended as a form of control.

2. Because of this, I decided that it doesn't make sense to pursue financial compensation at this time. The damage is still occurring, and I still don't have a viable path towards relief. Because of that, I offered to voluntarily dismiss my claims for monetary damages in exchange for the police opening a criminal investigation. Instead of being so focused on my alleged mental state, they could instead focus on examining evidence and performing appropriate scientific tests via the FBI crime lab.

How is that not a reasonable request?

I have been trying to negotiate in good faith towards a mutually satisfactory solution since day one. It does not feel like this is being reciprocated.

Erika Meyer

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Mon, Nov 20, 2023 at 2:04 PM

To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>

Also, with regards to whether or not you can "order" the police to do something - last week you reminded me that the Mayor is your client, asking me not to contact him, except through you. And I have been complying.

The Mayor is in charge of the police, and therefore, he could certainly ask them to conduct an investigation, and you could advise him to do so. Correct?

But you have chosen not to do this, correct?

Erika Meyer

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Mon, Nov 20, 2023 at 5:56 PM

To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>, "Melara, Darlene" <Darlene.Melara@portlandoregon.gov>, "Warnock, Clair" <Clair.Warnock@portlandoregon.gov>, MayorWheeler@portlandoregon.gov

Came home to Roxy hiding as she only does when she's being attacked with directed energy weapons.

The ruse is over as far as I'm concerned and I know your mens rea.

Mayor is linked to Stanford and Harvard and timber dollars and I'm well aware of the history of this crime and it's roots and the role of these elite colleges, especially those two. Don't forget I grew up in this too.

The point is, you, Mr Tu, and the police are openly lying and your game is a conspiracy to commit murder. You are hoping I will die before achieving justice. So you let them beat me like a pinata, waiting for the payday.

I'm not a lawyer, true, but one thing I do know is there is no statue of limitations on murder .

The only way I can think that it should be worth it to force this issue from smart guys like you is if your hands are already bloody as hell and you're covering your tracks again and again and again.

That is my theory of the crime.

Happy truth's giving.

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Meyer <ekm@seastorm.com>

Tue, Nov 21, 2023 at 12:49 PM

To: "Tu, Trung" <Trung.Tu@portlandoregon.gov>, "Melara, Darlene" <Darlene.Melara@portlandoregon.gov>, "Warnock, Clair" <Clair.Warnock@portlandoregon.gov>, MayorWheeler@portlandoregon.gov

Mayor Wheeler and the Portland Police Department know that a crime is occurring. They know that the crime is a conspiracy to commit murder, and that I am the intended victim. They know that Chris Newman is also a victim, and they know that I specifically asked them for aid on Chris' behalf before it was too late to save his life. And they ignored me. This was documented by the City but was not turned over as part of my discovery request for documents in case 23CV20288.

It is the Police Department's job to investigate valid complaints of crime. They know the crimes are occurring, and they know what the crimes are. All the Mayor has to do is tell the police to do what I've asked: open a criminal investigation into my complaints, and instruct the FBI laboratory to conduct the appropriate tests. At that point, if the FBI starts to evade, I know who to focus on. The Mayor, and the City, would have done their job, and would then presumably be off the hook with regards to this current spate of biomedical attacks.

Instead, the City of Portland has decided to form an extra wall of defense around the federal government, preventing me

from accessing the FBI laboratory as well as denying me access to protections offered to crime victims as a matter of law. While it is true that the FBI could address the crimes directly, the FBI has specifically instructed me to report these crimes to police first. In fact, that should be effective enough, since the law mandates that the FBI make their laboratory services available to police investigations. All the Mayor, and the City has to do, is initiate and conduct an honest, valid, criminal investigation.

The Mayor's refusal to do something as simple as open a criminal investigation into a crime *he knows is occurring* is an overt act in furtherance of a criminal conspiracy.

Perhaps it is not the only overt act.

[Quoted text hidden]